

Phenomenological model of elastic distortions near the spin-Peierls transition in $CuGeO_3$

M.L. Plumer

Centre de Recherche en Physique du Solide et Département de Physique

Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1K 2R1

(June 1995)

Abstract

A phenomenological model of the Landau type forms the basis for a study of elastic distortions near the spin-Peierls transition T_c in $CuGeO_3$. The atomic displacements proposed by Hirota *et al.* [Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 736 (1994)] are accounted for by the model which includes linear coupling between Cu and O distortions. Cu displacements are seen to be responsible for anomalies in the elastic properties *at* T_c , whereas incipient O distortions give rise to temperature dependence below T_c . A discussion of possible critical behavior is also made.

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the nature of its microscopic origins, attention has focused on both magnetic¹ and structural properties²⁻¹⁸ of the recently discovered spin-Peierls system $CuGeO_3$. It is now well established that this material is the first example of an inorganic compound which exhibits the simultaneous opening of a gap from a singlet ground state and a lattice dimerization in $S=1/2$ spin chains. In contrast with previously studied organic spin-Peierls systems, large single crystals of $CuGeO_3$ can be made which facilitate neutron and X-ray diffraction studies in addition to the measurements of crystal-direction-dependent thermodynamic properties. Such measurements have revealed large anisotropic strain effects due to the dimerization, in contrast with simple quasi-one-dimensional models of Cu displacements. Such effects are examined in the present work based on a phenomenological Landau-type model which describes the atomic displacements below the transition temperature T_c as proposed by Hirota *et al.*⁸ In contrast with the principal Cu displacements which give rise to anomalies *at* T_c , secondary O distortions are found to be important in determining the temperature dependence of elastic properties below T_c . A linear coupling between the two types of ionic displacements is proposed which is electronic in origin. Good agreement with available experimental results is demonstrated. This study also serves to compliment and extend the brief Landau-type descriptions of some elastic properties given in Refs. 3,10,15.

Of the ten ions per high-temperature (above T_c) orthorhombic unit cell ($a = 4.81$, $b = 8.47$, and $c = 2.941$ Å), at sites $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_l + \mathbf{v}_j$ (where $\mathbf{R}_l$ are Bravais lattice vectors and $j = 1 - 10$), there are two Cu and four O ions which displace at T_c in the model of Ref 8. These are shown schematically in Fig. 1, with the high-T positional parameters $\mathbf{v}_j$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cu_1 : v_1 &= (0, 0, 0); & Cu_2 : v_2 &= (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \\
 O_3 : v_3 &= (x, -y, \frac{1}{2}); & O_4 : v_4 &= (-x, y, \frac{1}{2}) \\
 O_5 : v_5 &= (-x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2}); & O_6 : v_6 &= (x, y + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

These displacements thus double the unit cell in the a and c directions below T_c . A simple

order-parameter description of the ionic movements below T_c may be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}) = \boldsymbol{\rho}_j \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_l) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = (\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2})$ and here $j = 1 - 6$. The displacements shown in Fig. 1 are then described with

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 &= -\rho_z \hat{\mathbf{z}}; & \boldsymbol{\rho}_2 &= -\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_3 &= -\rho_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \rho_y \hat{\mathbf{y}}; & \boldsymbol{\rho}_4 &= -\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_5 &= -\rho_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \rho_y \hat{\mathbf{y}}; & \boldsymbol{\rho}_6 &= -\boldsymbol{\rho}_5 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where ρ_x and ρ_y are associated with the O distortions and ρ_z represents Cu -ion displacements. It is argued below that simple ion-ion interactions derived from symmetry arguments can reproduce the configuration of displacements depicted in Fig. 1. A desirable feature of any such model is to account for the simultaneous displacement of both Cu and O ions (which is also relevant to possible critical behavior). It is for this reason that ionic density-density coupling must also be considered. In addition, simple coupling between $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and the strain tensor reproduces the principal features of measured elastic properties without evoking higher-order interactions as in Refs. 3,10,15.

II. LANDAU FREE ENERGY

Landau-type free energies may be constructed using symmetry arguments¹⁹. It is assumed here that magnetic degrees of freedom are integrated out so that the free energy depends explicitly on $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ only. In the case of orthorhombic symmetry, there are three independent invariants which contribute to free energy density f at second order in the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, which may be chosen as

$$f_2 = D'_x \rho_x^2 + D'_y \rho_y^2 + D'_z \rho_z^2. \quad (4)$$

The independence of these three coefficients requires that $\rho_x \neq \rho_y \neq \rho_z$, as observed experimentally. Alternatively, one can choose the three terms as $f_2 = A\rho^2 + D_x \rho_x^2 + D_y \rho_y^2$,

where the A -term represents an isotropic interaction. Minimization of the anisotropy at the Cu sites, for example, gives $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \parallel \pm \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ if $D_x(Cu) > 0$ and $D_y(Cu) > 0$. In the following analysis, only isotropic terms are considered in detail, which is sufficient for the purposes of the present study.

A. Second-order terms

A general expression for isotropic two-ion interactions is

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}'} A(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}'). \quad (5)$$

Using the form (2) for $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$ in this relation yields

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \tilde{A}_{jk}(\mathbf{Q}) \boldsymbol{\rho}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_k, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_{jk}(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} A(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_k) \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) \quad (7)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{R}_l - \mathbf{R}'_l$. Considered below are the near-neighbor interactions within and between the CuO_2 chains which are likely to be important. We recall that $CuGeO_3$ is somewhat quasi-one-dimensional.

1. Cu-Cu within chains

Interactions between near-neighbor Cu ions along the c axis involve $j = k = (1, 2)$ with $\tilde{A}_{11} = A(\mathbf{c}) \cos \pi + A(-\mathbf{c}) \cos \pi$, and with a similar expression for $\tilde{A}_{22}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2A} &= -A(\mathbf{c})[\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_2] \\ &= -2A_{11}\rho_C^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $A_{11} = A(\mathbf{c})$.

2. Cu-Cu between chains

Here $j \neq k = (1, 2)$ with, e.g., $\tilde{A}_{12} = A(-\mathbf{v}_2) + A(-\mathbf{v}_2 + b\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \cos 2\pi$, giving

$$F_{2B} = 2A(\mathbf{v}_2)\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_2. \quad (9)$$

If $A(\mathbf{v}_2) > 0$, then this term is minimized by the configuration $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \parallel -\boldsymbol{\rho}_2$, as in Fig. 1. It can be expected, however, that this term is smaller than F_{2A} due to the larger interaction distance involved. Its contribution is given by $F_{2B} = -2A_{12}\rho_C^2$, where $A_{12} = A(\mathbf{v}_2)$.

3. O-O within chains

Interactions between the two *O* ions which surround the *Cu* of Fig. 1 correspond with $j \neq k = (3, 4)$ and $(5, 6)$. Using $\tilde{A}_{34} = A(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4) = A_{43} = A_{56} = A_{65}$, the energy is

$$F_{2C} = A(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4)[\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_4 + \boldsymbol{\rho}_5 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_6]. \quad (10)$$

If $A(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4) > 0$, then the configuration $\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \parallel -\boldsymbol{\rho}_4$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_5 \parallel -\boldsymbol{\rho}_6$, as in Fig. 1, is stabilized by this term, giving $F_{2C} = -2A_{34}\rho_O^2$, where $A_{34} = A(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4)$.

4. O-O between chains

With $j \neq k = (4, 5)$ and $(3, 6)$, the resulting contribution to the free energy is

$$F_{2D} = A(\mathbf{v}_4 - \mathbf{v}_5)[\boldsymbol{\rho}_4 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_5 + \boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_6]. \quad (11)$$

Although parallel or anti-parallel configurations are minimized by this term, it is expected to be rather small. Fourth-order terms considered below prefer a configuration $\boldsymbol{\rho}_4 \perp \boldsymbol{\rho}_5$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \perp \boldsymbol{\rho}_6$ giving $F_{2D} = 0$. As emphasized above, however, anisotropy effects will alter somewhat this idealized state.

5. *Cu-O within chains*

Considered here are the near-neighbor $Cu - O$ interactions, so that $j \neq k = (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 5),$ as well as $(2, 6)$. Due to the fact that neighboring Cu ions along the c axis displace in opposite directions, and that the O ions $(3, 4)$ and $(5, 6)$ are located mid-way between these Cu ions, this two-ion interaction term is zero. This is illustrated by considering $\tilde{A}_{13} = A(-\mathbf{v}_3) + A(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{v}_3) \cos \pi = 0$, where $A(-\mathbf{v}_3) = A(\mathbf{v}_3) = A(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{v}_3)$ has been used. In the absence of $Cu - O$ coupling at second order, there is not a simultaneous displacement of both species. This result is not in agreement with the observed behavior.

6. *Cu-O within chains: density-density interactions*

In addition to the two-ion interactions which involve the vector displacements $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$, there are also ionic charge density couplings which involve the perturbed density $\rho(\mathbf{R}) = |\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R})|$. Such interactions are of the type which have been considered within the framework of density functional theory²⁰ and are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_G &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}'} G(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \rho(\mathbf{R}) \rho(\mathbf{R}') \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k} \tilde{G}_{jk} \rho_j \rho_k \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{jk} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} G(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_k). \quad (13)$$

For simplicity, only the near-neighbor $Cu - O$ coupling is explicitly considered here (the other interactions discussed above are simply renormalized by charge density coupling). This gives a contribution

$$F_G = 4G_{13}\rho_C\rho_O, \quad (14)$$

where $G_{13} = G(\mathbf{v}_3)$. Linear coupling of this type yields (see below) $\rho_O \propto \rho_C$, as expected.

Temperature dependence appears through the usual entropy term of mean-field theory¹⁹

$$F_e = \frac{1}{2}aT \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \rho^2(\mathbf{R}). \quad (15)$$

With the simplifying assumption that $\rho_x \simeq \rho_y$ (see below), all of the second-order terms considered above can be written as

$$F_2 = A_C \rho_C^2 + A_O \rho_O^2 + A_{CO} \rho_C \rho_O, \quad (16)$$

where

$$A_C = aT - 2A_{11} - 2A_{12}, \quad A_O = aT - 2A_{34}, \quad A_{CO} = 4G_{13}. \quad (17)$$

B. Fourth-order terms

We omit here consideration of fourth-order anisotropy terms and note that, in general, isotropic interactions involving the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R})$ are nonlocal and can be expressed as²¹

$$F_4 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{\mathbf{R}_i\}} B(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2; \mathbf{R}_3, \mathbf{R}_4) [\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_1) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_2)] [\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_3) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}_4)], \quad (18)$$

where B depends only on the relative distances $|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|$. For simplicity, and for the puposes of demonstrating that the present model is plausible, only two types of terms will be considered explicitly here:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4a} &= \frac{1}{4} B_0 \sum_{\mathbf{R}} [\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R})]^2 \\ &= B_0 [\rho_C^2 + 2\rho_O^2]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which comes from entropy considerations,¹⁹ as well as the simple two-ion interactions of the form

$$F_{4b} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}'} B(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') [\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{R}')]^2. \quad (20)$$

The five near-neighbor couplings as considered above for F_2 yield a result

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{4b} = & B_{11}[\rho_1^4 + \rho_2^4] + B_{12}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_2)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}B_{34}[(\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_4)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\rho}_5 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_6)^2] + \frac{1}{2}B_{45}[(\boldsymbol{\rho}_4 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_5)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_6)^2] \\
& + B_{13}[(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_3)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_4)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_5)^2 + (\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_6)^2].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Configurations minimized by these terms are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{For } B_{12} < 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \parallel \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}_2; \\
& \text{for } B_{34} < 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \parallel \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}_4; \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_5 \parallel \pm \boldsymbol{\rho}_6; \\
& \text{for } B_{45} > 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_4 \perp \boldsymbol{\rho}_5; \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_3 \perp \boldsymbol{\rho}_6; \\
& \text{for } B_{13} > 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}_C \perp \boldsymbol{\rho}_O.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Note that the complete minimization of the term B_{45} occurs only if $\rho_x = \rho_y$ when (3) is used, but that this equality is in competition with anisotropy terms. It appears, however, that this condition is nearly satisfied in $CuGeO_3$. These results, together with the minimization conditions from consideration of F_2 , fully explain the displacements of Fig. 1, as described by Eqs. (1)-(3).

C. Equilibrium Properties

The full free energy is then given by

$$F = A_C \rho_C^2 + A_O \rho_O^2 + A_{CO} \rho_C \rho_O + B_C \rho_C^4 + B_O \rho_O^4 + B_{CO} \rho_C^2 \rho_O^2, \tag{23}$$

where $B_C = B_0 + B_{11} + B_{12}$, $B_O = 4B_0 + B_{34}$, and $B_{CO} = 4B_0$. Due to the nature of the spin-Peierls interaction, it is assumed here that Cu and O displacements represent primary and secondary order parameters, respectively. Minimization of F with respect to ρ_O yields a result which can be expressed as an expansion in odd powers of ρ_C , at least near the transition temperature,

$$\rho_O = \alpha \rho_C + \beta \rho_C^3 + \dots, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}A_{CO}/A_O; \quad \beta = -\alpha/A_O(B_{CO} + \frac{1}{2}B_O A_{CO}^2/A_O^2). \quad (25)$$

This establishes a linear relationship between Cu and O displacements near T_c , but that a more complicated behavior can be expected at lower temperatures. Using this result in the above expression for F then gives simply

$$F = A\rho_C^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\rho_C^4, \quad (26)$$

where $A = A_C - \frac{1}{2}\alpha A_{CO}$ and $\frac{1}{2}B = B_C + \alpha^2 B_{CO} + \alpha^4 B_O$. The transition temperature is defined by $A \equiv a(T - T_c)$, so that

$$aT_c = A_+ + \left\{ A_-^2 + 4G_{13}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (27)$$

where $A_{\pm} = A_{11} + A_{12} \pm A_{34}$ and $A_{CO} = 4G_{13}$ has been used.

For later purposes, we note here that minimization of F above yields $\rho_C^2 = -A/B = (a/B)(T_c - T)$ for the primary order parameter, and that the specific-heat anomaly at T_c is given by

$$\Delta C = C(T_c^+) - C(T_c^-) = -T_c \frac{a^2}{B}. \quad (28)$$

III. ELASTIC COUPLING

The orthorhombic symmetry of $CuGeO_3$ allows for nine independent elastic constants: $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{22}, C_{23}, C_{33}, C_{44}, C_{55}, C_{66}$. The elastic energy is conveniently written as

$$F_{el} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} e_{\mu} e_{\nu} \quad (29)$$

where Voigt notation ($1 \equiv xx, 2 \equiv yy, 3 \equiv zz, 4 \equiv yz, 5 \equiv zx, 6 \equiv xy$) has been used.

Lowest order coupling between the strain tensor and displacement vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is of the form $\sim e\rho^2$ and also has nine independent terms

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\rho e} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}'} [& D_1 e_{xx} \rho_x \rho_x + D_2 e_{yy} \rho_y \rho_y + D_3 e_{zz} \rho_z \rho_z + D_4 (e_{xx} \rho_y \rho_y + e_{yy} \rho_x \rho_x) \\ & + D_5 (e_{xx} \rho_z \rho_z + e_{zz} \rho_x \rho_x) + D_6 (e_{yy} \rho_z \rho_z + e_{zz} \rho_y \rho_y) \\ & + D_7 e_{yz} \rho_y \rho_z + D_8 e_{xz} \rho_x \rho_z + D_9 e_{xy} \rho_x \rho_y], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where the short-hand notation $D_i = D_i(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ and $\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta = \rho_\alpha(\mathbf{R})\rho_\beta(\mathbf{R}')$ has been used. The same near-neighbor interactions as considered above for F_2 and F_{4b} yield

$$F_{\rho e} = 2[D_1 e_{xx}\rho_x^2 + D_2 e_{yy}\rho_y^2 + D_3 e_{zz}\rho_z^2 + D_4(e_{xx}\rho_y^2 + e_{yy}\rho_x^2) \\ + D_{5C}e_{xx}\rho_z^2 + D_{5O}e_{zz}\rho_x^2 + D_{6C}e_{yy}\rho_z^2 + D_{6O}e_{zz}\rho_y^2 + D_9 e_{xy}\rho_x\rho_y]. \quad (31)$$

Recall that Cu displacements are along the z axis and that O displacements are in the xy plane. The interaction constants $D_1, D_2, D_4, D_{5O}, D_{6O}$, and D_9 thus involve $O-O$ couplings whereas D_3, D_{5C} , and D_{6C} involve $Cu-Cu$ couplings. $Cu-O$ interactions are zero for the same reasons as described in section 2A-5, although those involving density-density couplings could be added if desired. With the assumption $\rho_x = \rho_y = \rho_O/\sqrt{2}$, as well as the result from Eq. (24)

$$\rho_O^2 = \chi \rho_C^2, \quad \chi \simeq \alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta\rho_C^2, \quad (32)$$

the elastic coupling term reduces to

$$F_{\rho e} = [K_1 e_{xx} + K_2 e_{yy} + K_3 e_{zz} + K_6 e_{xy}]\rho_C^2 \\ = \sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} e_{\mu} \rho_C^2, \quad (33)$$

where

$$K_1 = (D_1 + D_4)\chi + 2D_{5C} \\ K_2 = (D_2 + D_4)\chi + 2D_{6C} \\ K_3 = 2D_3(D_{5O} + D_{6O})\chi \\ K_6 = D_9\chi. \quad (34)$$

Note that χ is temperature dependent through ρ_C^2 and that it is proportional to the square of the density-density coupling constant, $\chi \sim G_{13}^2$. It is also convenient to write

$$K_{\mu} = K_{\mu o} + k_{\mu}\rho_C^2, \quad (35)$$

where $K_{\mu o}$ is independent of temperature and depends only on $Cu-Cu$ interactions, whereas the coefficient of the temperature-dependent contribution k_{μ} depends only on $O-O$ interactions.

A. Thermal expansion and elastic constants

The full free energy now takes the simple form²¹

$$F = A\rho_C^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\rho_C^4 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}e_\mu e_\nu + \sum_\mu K_\mu e_\mu \rho_C^2. \quad (36)$$

Minimization with respect to the strain tensor yields the following results for the effects of Cu and O displacements on thermal expansion, the expansion coefficient, and the elastic constants

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu &= -\sum_\nu s_{\mu\nu}[K_{\nu o} + k_\nu \rho_C^2]\rho_C^2 \\ \Delta\alpha_\mu &= -(a/B)\sum_\nu s_{\mu\nu}[K_{\nu o} + 2k_\nu \rho_C^2] \\ \Delta C_{\mu\nu} &= (K_{\nu o} + k_\nu \rho_C^2)(K_{\mu o} + k_\mu \rho_C^2)/B \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where $s_{\mu\nu}$ is the compliance matrix ($s_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$), $\Delta\alpha_\mu = \alpha_\mu(T_c^+) - \alpha_\mu(T_c^-)$, and with a similar definition for $\Delta C_{\mu\nu}$. Due to the temperature dependence of ρ_C^2 , thermal expansion is not simply proportional to the square of the order parameter, and the expansion coefficient does not exhibit a simple step discontinuity, as is usually the case with low order ($\sim e\rho^2$) interactions. In addition, the elastic constants exhibit temperature dependence below T_c , also due to the displacement of O ions (since $k_\mu \rho_C^2 \sim \rho_O^2$). Such effects can also be mimicked by including higher-order couplings.^{3,10,15}

B. Numerical fits

With available experimental data, it is possible to fit a number of the Landau model parameters. From the specific heat data of Ref. 17, the estimate $\Delta C \simeq -4.5 \times 10^4 J/(Km^3)$ can be made. Comparing this result with Eq. (28), and using $T_c = 14.2K$, gives $a^2/B \simeq 3.2 \times 10^3 J/m^3$ (where the free energy F is in units of energy/volume). The order parameter at low temperature takes the form $\rho_C(0) = (aT_c/B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This expression can then be used with the above result, and the estimate from Hirota *et al.* of $\rho_C(0) \simeq 0.0014c$, to give $a \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{29} J/(Km^5)$ and $B \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{55} J/(Km^7)$ in (cumbersome) *SI* units.

There are also available limited data on the elastic constants near T_c . In particular, from the results of Ref. 14 on C_{11} , C_{22} , and C_{33} , it is clear that only C_{33} exhibits a detectable discontinuity so that $K_{10} \simeq 0$, $K_{20} \simeq 0$. From these data, the estimate $\Delta C_{33}/C_{33} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ can be made. Using this result, along with²² $C_{33} \simeq 3.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2$, as well as Eq. (37) and the above value for B , the estimate $K_{30} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{32} \text{ J/m}^5$ can be made. These results, together with the relations (37), imply that the only other elastic constants which could display a discontinuity at T_c are C_{36} and C_{66} , provided that K_{60} has appreciable magnitude.

The temperature dependence of $\Delta C_{\mu\nu}$ below T_c from Eq. (37) is of the form $\sim \Delta C_0 + c_1 \rho_C^2 + c_2 \rho_C^4$, where in general $\rho_C \sim (T_c - T)^\beta$. From the data of Ref. 14, there appears to be a region near T_c where ΔC_{11} , ΔC_{22} , and ΔC_{33} increase *nearly* linearly with decreasing temperature, followed by a less rapid increase at lower temperatures. A more detailed analysis of these results¹⁵ suggests a value $\beta = 0.42(2)$. This estimate may be compared with $\beta = 0.25(5)$ found in an earlier study of elastic properties.¹¹ A discussion of possible critical behaviors is deferred to the next section.

It is also of interest to examine the expression for the effective fourth-order coefficient $B' = B - \sum_{\mu\nu} K_{\mu 0} K_{\nu 0}$ which results from elastic coupling.²¹ With the reasonable assumptions that the dominant contribution is from K_{30} , and that $s_{33} \sim 1/C_{33}$, one finds $(B' - B)/B \sim 10^{-3}$. The argument given in Ref. 10 that elastic coupling could be responsible for reducing the magnitude of the fourth-order coefficient to near zero appears to be inconsistent with the above analysis. Thus, the proposal in Ref. 10 of tricriticality is not supported by the present work.

Experimental results on the three diagonal components of the strain tensor may be found in Ref. 17. From Eq. (37) and the approximations $K_{10} = K_{20} = 0$, temperature dependence of the form

$$e_\mu = -[s_{\mu 3} K_{30} + (s_{\mu 1} k_1 + s_{\mu 2} k_2) + s_{\mu 3} k_3] \rho_C^2, \quad (38)$$

is expected. It is clear that such predicted behavior is consistent with the data. Detailed fitting to extract estimates of the three parameters k_μ must await determination of the

absolute values of the other elastic constants (only C_{11} , C_{22} , and C_{33} are presently available) and hence knowledge of the compliance matrix. With the assumption of quadratic order-parameter dependence, the authors of Ref. 17 extracted estimates $2\beta = 0.61(2) - 0.69(8)$.

Discontinuities in $\Delta\alpha_\mu$ at T_c along the three principle axes a , b , and c were found to be $1.3(1)$, $-2.3(1)$, and $-0.4(1) \times 10^{-5}/K$, respectively.¹⁷ From (37), as well as the approximation $s_{33} \sim 1/C_{33}$, the c-axis expansivity is given by $\Delta\alpha_c \simeq -(a/B)K_{30}/C_{33}$. Using the parameter estimates given above, this yields the model prediction $\Delta\alpha_c \simeq -5 \times 10^{-6}$, in very good agreement with the data. Comparison between the model predictions $\Delta\alpha_a = -(a/B)K_{30}s_{13}$ and $\Delta\alpha_b = -(a/B)K_{30}s_{23}$ at T_c with corresponding experimental results requires knowledge of the compliance matrix. It is also of interest to note that the temperature dependences observed below T_c in the expansivity are accounted for by Eq. (37).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In spite of the great interest in the novel properties of the new inorganic spin-Peierls system CuGeO_3 as reflected by the large number of recently published experimental studies, there has been relatively few theoretical investigations. This is partly due to the complex nature of the relevant interactions caused by the non-negligible interchain interactions in this not-so quasi-one-dimensional system. Phenomenological models are thus particularly useful due to their simplicity and serve to guide further study of a more microscopic nature. The Landau-type free energy studied in the present work was constructed from symmetry arguments with dominant close-neighbor interactions included. The analysis demonstrates that the simplest of interactions can account for the model of rather complicated ionic displacements proposed in Ref. 8. O -ion distortions are coupled linearly to the principal Cu -ion displacements by charge-density interactions. Elastic distortions as a function of temperature below T_c , not present in simple Landau models, are seen here to be a consequence of this incipient O -ion movement. This contrasts with the elastic anomalies at T_c , which are

due to the Cu displacements.

A simple argument based on the results of this work suggest that the transition should belong to the standard XY universality class (where, e.g., $\alpha = -0.012(10)$, $\beta = 0.349(4)$, $\nu = 0.671(5)$). One component is simply the Cu displacement along the c axis, $\rho_z = \rho_C$, and the other is the concomitant O distortion in the ab plane $\rho_\perp = \rho_O$. Note that there is not rotational degeneracy in the ab plane due to the second-order anisotropy terms: A specific direction is favored.

In addition to the wide ranging estimates for the critical exponent $\beta = 0.25 - 0.42$ determined from the elastic properties discussed above, there have been disparate results from other techniques. Analysis of neutron diffraction data for β and ν indicated a simple mean-field transition at T_c ,^{3,6} in agreement with one recent study of the specific heat.²³ In contrast, an earlier specific-heat measurement yielded an estimate $\alpha \simeq 0.4$,²⁴ whereas the most recently published result claims $-0.15 \leq \alpha \leq 0.15$.²⁵ The above results for β may also be compared with those from X-ray scattering data:^{10,26} $\beta = 0.26(3)$ and $\beta = 0.37(3)$. One may conclude from all of these results that the most recent of studies of the critical behavior appear to favor a scenario where the spin-Peierls transition in $CuGeO_3$ belongs to one of the standard universality classes (Ising, XY , or Heisenberg). It is of interest to note that some of the above results suggest tricritical behavior ($\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \frac{1}{4}$). Simulations of simple microscopic models of structural phase transitions indeed indicate that the fourth-order coefficient of a corresponding Landau-type expansion can be rather small.²⁷ Analysis of recent X-ray data on $CuGeO_3$ demonstrates that the critical region is rather small and that tricritical behavior is evident if exponents are estimated using larger values of the reduced temperature.²⁶ Similar conclusions were recently made based on Monte Carlo simulations of a magnetic system that was previously thought to be tricritical.²⁸

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank A. Caillé for suggesting this problem, as well as M. Poirier, M. Ain, B. Gaulin and M. Azzouz for useful discussions. This work was supported by NSERC of Canada and FCAR du Québec.

REFERENCES

- ¹ For recent reviews of magnetic properties, see e.g., S.B. Oseroff *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1450 (1995); O. Fujita *et al.*, *ibid* 1677 (1995); V. Kiryukhin and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R704 (1995).
- ² D.M. Adams, J. Haines, and S. Leonard, J. Phys. **3**, 5183 (1991).
- ³ M. Nishi, J. Phys.: Cond. Mat. **6**, L19 (1994).
- ⁴ J.E. Lorenzo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 1278 (1994).
- ⁵ M. Arai *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **63**, 1661 (1994).
- ⁶ J.P. Pouget *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 4037 (1994).
- ⁷ O. Kamimura *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **63**, 2467 (1994).
- ⁸ K. Hirota *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 736 (1994).
- ⁹ B. Roessli *et al.*, J. Phys. **6**, 8469 (1994).
- ¹⁰ Q.J. Harris *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 12606 (1994).
- ¹¹ M. Saint-Paul, P. Monceau, and A. Revcolevschi, Solid State Commun. **93**, 7 (1995).
- ¹² M. Nishi, O. Fujita, and J. Akimitsu, Physica B **210**, 149 (1995).
- ¹³ C.H. Chen and S-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 6777 (1995).
- ¹⁴ M. Poirier, M. Castonguay, A. Revcolevschi, and G. Dhalenne, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 6147 (1995).
- ¹⁵ M. Poirier, M. Castonguay, A. Revcolevschi, and G. Dhalenne, unpublished.
- ¹⁶ M. Poirier *et al.*, unpublished.
- ¹⁷ H. Winklemann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 12 884 (1995).
- ¹⁸ H. Yamaguchi, M. Yamaguchi, and T. Yagi, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **64**, 1055 (1995).

- ¹⁹ J.C. Toledano and P. Toledano, *The Landau Theory of Phase Transitions* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987); M.L. Plumer *et al.* in *Magnetic Systems with Competing Interactions*, edited by H.T. Diep (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- ²⁰ See, e.g., M.L. Plumer and D.J.W. Geldart, J. Phys. C **16**, 677 (1983).
- ²¹ See, e.g., M.L. Plumer and A. Caillé, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 7712 (1988).
- ²² M. Poirier (private communication).
- ²³ Y.-K. Kuo, E. Figueroa, and J.W. Brill, Solid State Commun. **94**, 385 (1995).
- ²⁴ S. Sahling, J.C. Lasjaunias, P. Monceau, A. Revcolevschi, Solid State Commun. **92**, 423 (1994).
- ²⁵ X. Liu, J. Wosnitza, H. V. Löhneysen, and R.K. Kremer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 771(C) (1995).
- ²⁶ M. Lumsden, H. Dabkowska, and B. D. Gaulin (unpublished).
- ²⁷ A.P. Giddy, M.T. Dove, and V. Heine, J. Phys.: Cond. Mat. **1**, 8327 (1989); S. Radescu, I. Etxebarria, and J.M. Pérez-Mato, *ibid* **7**, 585 (1995).
- ²⁸ M.L. Plumer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 14312 (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Schematic showing displacements of Cu (open circles) and O (filled circles) ions in the ab (top panel) and cb (bottom panel) planes below T_c , after Ref. 8. Not shown are the two O and two Ge ions per high-T unit cell (rectangles) which are undisplaced.